

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,303		02/24/2004	Lawrence M. Janesky	257-011351-US(PAR)	2118
2512	7590	07/07/2005		EXAM	INER
PERMAN		EN	JOYCE, HAROLD		
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIRTIEE	D, CI O	0824		3749	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sign
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,303	JANESKY, LAWRENCE M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harold Joyce	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum status. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL ATTOM TOTAL ATTOM TOTAL ATTOM TOTAL ATTOM TOTAL ATTOM TOTAL ATTOM A	. a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice 	☐ This action is non-final. Tallowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the It 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the Italy The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abey be correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	0-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 7012005

Application/Control Number: 10/785,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allabaugh in view of Crute, Jr. and Naka. Allabaugh discloses the claimed invention except for plastic composition and the reinforcing ribs. Crute, Jr. teaches that it is known to provide a vent cover to be of a plastic material as set forth at column 3, lines 14-28. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the removable closure of Allabaugh of a plastic composition, as taught by Crute, Jr. in order to reduce its weight and render the same easily handled. Naka teaches that it is known to provide floor cover with projecting ribs as set forth at column 4, lines 29-31. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the undersuface of the closure of Allabaugh with ribs, as taught by Naka in order to reinforce the same. As to claims 2 and 3, Crute, Jr. teaches that it is known to provide a vent cover with a sealing gasket and also for sealing engagement with the adjacent surface of the wall around the opening there through as set forth at column 2, lines 14-19. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the closure of Allabaugh with sponge rubber, as taught by Crute, Jr. for its intended purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,303 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 4, 2004.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Naka is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Naka is of the same field of applicant's endeavor which is covering an opening in a building which is in the field of CLASS 52 STATIC STRUCTURES (E.G., BUILDINGS), subclass 19 WITH ENTRANCE FOR PERSONS OR OBJECTS IN HORIZONTAL OR INCLINED COVER, subclass 20 With additional enclosure structure; e.g., manhole.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

 See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958

Application/Control Number: 10/785,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, a suggestion to combine the reference was given in the above rejection.

6. As to the recessed peripheral border provided with a plurality of holes, the plate 7 of Allabaugh is recessed and also is provided along its peripheral border a plurality of opening 14 for receiving hooks 10.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-4876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,303

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Cen-

ter (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harold Joyce
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749

Page 5